

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 02/19/2004		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,716			Steven L. VanLaningham	03CR418/KE	5575
Kyle Eppele	7590	05/31/2007		EXAMINER	
Patent Departs		23	TRINH, TAN H		
400 Collins Rd. NE Cedar Rapids, IA 52498				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2618	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

 -		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/782,716	VANLANINGHAM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		TAN TRINH	2618				
Pariod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with					
Period fo	• •						
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH . cause the application to become ARAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2007					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2004 is/are		iected to by the Examiner				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau		oewes in the Hational Glage				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ceived.				
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8-12, 16-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiu (U.S. pub. NO. 2004/0082311) in view of Santos (U.S. Patent No. 6,684,247).

Regarding claim 1, Shiu teaches a method of adapting a communication link in a network of radio communication nodes (see fig. 1, page 1, section [0009]), comprising: sending by a first node a first radio communication (see figs. 1-2, first node (BS) 102, first communication signal 132, page 3, section [0027], lines 1-8); receiving by a second node the first radio communication (see figs. 1-2, second node (WCD) 104, first communication signal 132, page 3, section [0027] lines 1-8), estimating (an estimate of the predictability of the wireless environments) by the second node the dynamics of the communications channel (see page 3, sections [0033-0034]); categorizing the dynamics of the communications channel into one of at least two groups such as less stable or very stable conditions (see page 3, section [0035]), and selecting, based on the chosen group, the use of either closed loop link adoptions or open loop adoptions of communication link parameters (see page 5, section [0048]).

Still regarding claim 1, Shiu teaches a link metric (see page 5, section [0050]). But Shiu fails to teach the newly added limitation of: sending by a first node a first radio communication "to a monitoring node" and "estimating by the monitoring node the dynamics of a

Art Unit: 2618

communications channel based on a link metric of at least the first radio communication". Such teaching is taught by Santos. (See fig. 1, monitoring station 110, and first node 101, and fig. 3 and 7, estimating by monitoring station 110 base on link metric of at least the first radio communication, and see col. 2, lines 5-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Shiu with Santos, in order to provide for identifying congestion and anomalies at individual nodes, links, and segments in network (see suggested by Santos on the abstract lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 2, Shiu teaches the method of claim 1. Shiu further teaches wherein one of the two groups is a static group (see page 1, sections [0009-0010]). Because the conditions of the signal transmission path of the wireless channel are stable.

Regarding claim 3, Shiu teaches the method of claim 1. Shiu further teaches wherein one of the two groups is a dynamic group (see page 1, sections [0009-0010]). Because the conditions of the signal transmission path of the wireless channel are rapidly changing.

Regarding claim 4, Shiu teaches the method of claim 1. Shiu further teaches wherein the link metric is a received signal strength indicator (RSSI) (see page 5, section [0050]). In this case, the base station monitor the signal strength from surrounding, when signal strength from base station and WCD is in communication with decreases below a threshold level, the BS and WCD link signal strength below the threshold level is the link metric.

Regarding claim 8, Shiu teaches the method of claim 1. Shiu further teaches wherein the communication link parameters comprise a transmit power (see page 4, section [0046]).

Regarding claim 21, Shiu teaches the method of claim 1. Shiu further teaches sending the first node the first radio communication to at least a second node (see figs. 1-2, first node (BS) 102, first communication signal 132, page 3, section [0027], lines 1-8); to a second node the first radio communication (see figs. 1-2, second node (WCD) 104, first communication signal 132, page 3, section [0027] lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 9, Shiu teaches a method of changing communication link adaption techniques in a network of radio communication nodes (see fig. 1, page 1, section [0008-0009]), comprising: detecting interference based on an open loop mode (see fig. 1, sections [0009-0010] and page 4, section [0041]); estimating (an estimate of the predictability of the wireless environments) using an open loop estimator (see page 3, section [0033]), and determining, whether transmission parameters should be adjusted based on open loop metrics or closed loop metrics, based on the channel dynamics (see page 3, sections [0033-0034]).

Still regarding claim 9, Shiu teaches an open loop metrics or closed loop metrics, based on the channel dynamics (see page 3, sections [0033-0034]). But Shiu fails to teach the newly added limitation of "detecting interference by utilizing a monitoring node that receives communication signals". Such teaching is taught by Santos. (See fig. 1, monitoring station 110,

Art Unit: 2618

and first node 101, and fig. 3 and 7, estimating by monitoring station 110 base on link metric of communication signal, and see col. 1, lines 65 - col. 2, lines 67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Shiu with Santos, in order to provide for identifying congestion and anomalies at individual nodes, links, and segments in network (see suggested by Santos on the abstract lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 10, Shiu teaches the method of claim 9. Shiu further teaches the adjusting the transmission parameters based on open loop metrics (see pages 4-5, sections [0041 and 0048]).

Regarding claim 11, Shiu teaches the method of claim 10, Shiu further teaches the adjusting the transmission parameters based on closed loop metrics (see pages 4-5, sections [0046 and 0048]).

Regarding claim 12, Shiu teaches the method of claim 9. Shiu further teaches wherein the open loop estimator uses the received signal strength indicator (RSSI) (see page 5, sections [0048 and 0050]).

Regarding claim 16, Shiu teaches the method of claim 9. Shiu further teaches wherein the transmission parameters comprise a transmit power (see page 4, section [0046]).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 17, Shiu teaches a radio node communication system (see fig. 1), comprising: a first radio node (see fig. 1-2, first node (BS) 102); a second radio node (see fig. 1-2, second node (WCD) 104); a processor generating an open loop metric to estimate channel dynamics (see page 3, sections [0033-0034). (In this case is open loop and estimate channel dynamics, since the WCD moving at high velocity and unstable), and determining, based on the channel dynamics, the transmission parameter adjustments based on one of the open loop metrics or closed loop metrics page 5, sections [0046-0048]).

Still regarding claim 17, Shiu teaches a first radio node (see fig. 1-2, first node (BS) 102); a second radio node (see fig. 1-2, second node (WCD) 104) and sending by a first node a first radio communication (see figs. 1-2, first node (BS) 102, first communication signal 132, page 3, section [0027], lines 1-8); to a second node the first radio communication (see figs. 1-2, second node (WCD) 104, first communication signal 132, page 3, section [0027] lines 1-8), But Shiu fails to teach the newly added limitation of: "the first radio node configured to send a first radio communication to a monitoring node" and a processor "coupled to the monitor node". Such Such teaching is taught by Santos. (See fig. 1, first node 101 send the a first radio communication to monitoring station 110, and fig. 3 and 7, estimating by monitoring station 110 base on link metric of communication signal, and see col. 1, lines 65 - col. 2, lines 67). And also see fig. 2, processor 200 coupled to the monitor node 110, and col. 4, line 27-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above teaching of Shiu with Santos, in order to provide for identifying congestion and anomalies at individual nodes, links, and segments in network (see suggested by Santos on the abstract lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 18, Shiu teaches the system of claim 17. Shiu further teaches wherein the transmission parameters comprise a transmit power (see page 4, section [0046]).

Regarding claim 19, Shiu teaches the system of claim 17, Shiu further teaches wherein the first radio node comprises a radio transceiver and the second radio node comprises a radio transceiver (see fig. 2, first radio (BS) 102, radio transceiver (transmitter 212 and receiver 214) and second radio node (WCD) 104, radio transceiver (transmitter 224 and receiver 222), page 3, section [0030]).

3. Claims 5-6 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiu (U.S. Pub. NO. 2004/0082311) in view of Santos (U.S. Patent No. 6,684,247) further in view of Sadri (U.S. Pub. 2005/0032514).

Regarding claims 5-6, Shiu teaches wherein the estimate is based on the signal interference (see page 1, section [0009]) and a link metric (see page 5, section [0050]). But Shiu or Santos does not mention the signal to noise ratio (SNR) or the symbol error rate (SER).

However, Sadri teaches an estimating process for detecting the signal quality based on the signal to noise ratio (SNR) or the symbol error rate (SER) (see page 3, section [0026]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Shiu and Santos with Sadri, in order to obtain better flexibility so that various of signal quality measuring techniques can be used for the wireless system.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claims 13-14, Shiu teaches wherein the estimate is based on the signal interference (see page 1, section [0009]). But Shiu or Santos does not mention a signal to noise ratio (SNR) or the symbol error rate (SER).

However, Sadri teaches an estimating process for detecting the signal quality based on a signal to noise ratio (SNR) or a symbol error rate (SER) (see page 3, section [0026]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Shiu and Santos with Sadri, in order to obtain better flexibility so that various of signal quality measuring techniques can be used for the wireless system.

4. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiu (U.S. Pub. NO. 2004/0082311) in view of Santos (U.S. Patent No. 6,684,247) further in view of Petrus (U.S. Patent No. 2004/0005905).

Regarding claim 7, Shiu teaches first radio communication. But Shiu or Santos does not mention wherein the first radio communication includes a message header with a transmission power indicator.

However, Petrus a message header with a transmission power indicator (see fig. 2, header 205, page 2, section [0023]). Since the transmission power indicator is the transmission power control for increasing or decreasing in transmission power by a predetermined amount in the power control message).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Shiu and Santos with

Art Unit: 2618

Petrus, in order to provide predetermined amount of transmission power in the power control message.

Regarding claim 15, Shiu teaches receiving first radio communication. But Shiu or Santos does not mention wherein receiving a radio communication having a message header with a transmission power indicator.

However, Petrus a message header with a transmission power indicator (see fig. 2, header 205, page 2, section [0023]). Since in the recieving the transmission power indicator is the power control message for increase or decrease in transmission power control by a predetermined amount in the power control message indication when received).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Shiu and Santos with Petrus, in order to provide predetermined amount of transmission power in the power control message.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiu (U.S. Pub. NO. 2004/0082311) in view of Santos (U.S. Patent No. 6,684,247) further in view of Kwak (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0014482).

Regarding claim 20, Shiu or Santos teaches the estimate transmission power control from first node. But Shiu does not mention the transmission power indicator from the first node.

However, Kwak teaches wherein the transmission power indicator from the first node (see fig. 1 and 3, first node 101 or node B 301, page 13, section [0103]).

Art Unit: 2618

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify above combination of the teaching of Shiu and Santos with Kwak, in order to provide mobile phone with the transmission power reference numeral indicates paths of channel from base station (see Kwak page 1, section [0007).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,716 Page 11

Art Unit: 2618

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7888. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiners supervisor, Anderson, Matthew D., can be reached at (571) 272-4177.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-0377**.

Art Unit: 2618

Page 12

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan H. Trinh Division 2618 May 23, 2007

Anderson, Matthew D. (SPE 2618)